PROPOSED QUESTIONNAIRE for the SECOND INDEPENDENT EVALUATION of the JOINT UNITED NATIONS PROGRAMME ON HIV/AIDS

The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) was established in 1996. A first evaluation of the work of UNAIDS was conducted in 2001 and the result of this evaluation has been made widely available. Since that first evaluation a number of developments have taken place. There have been changes in the scope and nature of the HIV epidemic and there have been a number of major changes in the response to it. New organizations and initiatives have been created such as the Global Fund and PEPFAR, which are actively contributing to the AIDS response and the overall level of funding allocated to the response has increased significantly.

It is now proposed to conduct a Second Independent Evaluation that will cover the period that has elapsed since the first evaluation to the present day, namely 2002-2007. In preparing this Second Independent Evaluation, and keeping in mind the changes that have taken place in country and global environments, we are inviting stakeholders to participate in defining the scope and key areas that should be taken up in this Second Independent Evaluation.

We are looking forward to your active involvement and guidance in this

orocess. Thank you in advance for giving us some of your very valuable time.
1. Name of informant:
2. Name of the agency/organization you are associated with
3. Date this questionnaire was completed
4. How long have you personally been associated with Joint UNAIDS Program?
Number of years

5. In what way are you currently associated with Joint UNAIDS Program?
6. When you think of Joint UNAIDS Program in 2000, what do you think its main roles were at the time?
7. When you think of Joint UNAIDS Program in 2007, at a time when other partners have entered the field, what do you think its main roles are and how this has changed since 2000?
8. Should this Second Independent Evaluation include an examination of the original ECOSOC resolution, which created the Joint UNAIDS Program?
9. Do you think that the HIV epidemic is just as much a threat as it was considered to be in the year 2000? Should AIDS continue to receive as much resources and attention as it has since then?

10. What time during 2008 will be the best time for the Second Independent Evaluation to take place?
11. Where do you feel, that the emphasis of the Second Independent Evaluation should lie? Should it focus on (a) the Joint UNAIDS Program, (b) the Secretariat, (c) UNAIDS Cosponsors, (d) only the HIV-related areas of work of the UNAIDS Cosponsors, (d) all of them?
12. Are there any particular aspects of the relationship between the Joint UNAIDS Program and other agencies that you would like to see taken up in the Second Independent Evaluation? This includes a) Global Fund; b) PEPFAR; c) other donors; d) non-government organizations (NGOs); e) recipient countries or f) other stakeholders (like private sector or others)

13. Are there any particular structural or management aspects of the Joint UNAIDS Program you would like to see given priority in the Second Independent Evaluation, such as staffing patterns and the role of the

Secretariat vis-à-vis other agencies working on HIV, or the management structure and operational system?
14. Are there any particular aspects of the relationship between the Secretariat and the Cosponsors that you would like to see given priority to in the Second Independent Evaluation?
15. Should the Second Evaluation include an analysis of the administrative arrangements of the Secretariat?
16. Are there any particular aspects of the relationship between the Secretariat and other agencies that you would like to see taken up in the Second Independent Evaluation? This includes a) Global Fund; b) PEPFAR; c) other donors; d) non-government organizations (NGOs); e) recipient countries or f) other stakeholders (like private sector or others)

17. Are there any particular aspects of the functional relationship between the Joint UNAIDS Program, countries and other agencies at regional level that you would like to see given priority to in the Second Independent Evaluation, including priority setting, communication, program implementation and reporting?
18. Are there any particular aspects of the functional relationship between the Joint UNAIDS Program and other agencies within countries that you would like to see given priority to in the Second Independent Evaluation, including priority setting, communication, program implementation and reporting?
19. How would you like to see the Second Independent Evaluation conducted, for example through regional and country visits, personal interviews, self-completed questionnaires, web page or other methods?

20. How would you like to see the Second Independent Evaluation managed in order to ensure its independence?

20. Who do you think should be invited to provide inputs into the Second Independent Evaluation?
21. What would you like to see as the outcome of the Second Independent Evaluation?
Once again thank you for your time, and if you have any other comments or thoughts you would like to add please do so below:

UNAIDS Second Independent Evaluation Ques	stionnaire	version 2	21-9	-2007
---	------------	-----------	------	-------

 	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	 •	 ٠.		٠.					٠.	٠.	•	 			 	 		٠.		 ٠.		 		 		 •	
 	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.		٠.	٠.			 ٠.		٠.		٠.			٠.			 			 	 		٠.		 ٠.		 		 ٠.			

Please send your responses to Bill Bertrand (webertrand@cs.com) or Manuel Carballo (mcarballo@icmh.ch) and please copy this also to Eddy Beck (becke@unaids.org) – thank you.