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A.I Strategic plan

1. Has the country developed a national multisectoral strategy to respond to HIV? No

IF YES, what is the period covered: The draft NSP is designed to cover 2013-2017, however, it is not complete, or in use.
IF YES, briefly describe key developments/modifications between the current national strategy and the prior one. IF NO or NOT APPLICABLE, briefly explain why.

IF YES, complete questions 1.1 through 1.10; IF NO, go to question 2.

1.1. Which government ministries or agencies have overall responsibility for the development and implementation of the national multi-sectoral strategy to respond to HIV?

1.2. Which sectors are included in the multi-sectoral strategy with a specific HIV budget for their activities?

Education:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Health:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Labour:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Military/Police:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Social Welfare:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Transportation:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No

Women:

- Included in Strategy: No
- Earmarked Budget: No
Young People:

Included in Strategy: No

Earmarked Budget: No

Other:

Included in Strategy: No

Earmarked Budget: No

IF NO earmarked budget for some or all of the above sectors, explain what funding is used to ensure implementation of their HIV-specific activities?

1.3. Does the multisectoral strategy address the following key populations/other vulnerable populations, settings and cross-cutting issues?

KEY POPULATIONS AND OTHER VULNERABLE POPULATIONS:

Discordant couples: No

Elderly persons: No

Men who have sex with men: No

Migrants/mobile populations: No

Orphans and other vulnerable children: No

People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No

Sex workers: No

Transgender people: No

Women and girls: No

Young women/young men: No

Other specific vulnerable subpopulations: No

SETTINGS:

Prisons: No

Schools: No

Workplace: No
CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES:

Addressing stigma and discrimination: No

Gender empowerment and/or gender equality: No

HIV and poverty: No

Human rights protection: No

Involvement of people living with HIV: No

IF NO, explain how key populations were identified?

1.4. What are the identified key populations and vulnerable groups for HIV programmes in the country?

People living with HIV: No

Men who have sex with men: No

Migrants/mobile populations: No

Orphans and other vulnerable children: No

People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No

Prison inmates: No

Sex workers: No

Transgender people: No

Women and girls: No

Young women/young men: No

Other specific key populations/vulnerable subpopulations [write in]:

: No

1.5 Does the country have a strategy for addressing HIV issues among its national uniformed services (such as military, police, peacekeepers, prison staff, etc)?: No

1.6. Does the multisectoral strategy include an operational plan?: No

1.7. Does the multisectoral strategy or operational plan include:

a) Formal programme goals?:
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b) Clear targets or milestones?

c) Detailed costs for each programmatic area?

d) An indication of funding sources to support programme implementation?

e) A monitoring and evaluation framework?

1.8. Has the country ensured “full involvement and participation” of civil society in the development of the multisectoral strategy?

IF ACTIVE INVOLVEMENT, briefly explain how this was organised.

IF NO or MODERATE INVOLVEMENT, briefly explain why this was the case.

1.9. Has the multisectoral strategy been endorsed by most external development partners (bi-laterals, multi-laterals)?

1.10. Have external development partners aligned and harmonized their HIV-related programmes to the national multisectoral strategy?

IF SOME PARTNERS or NO, briefly explain for which areas there is no alignment/harmonization and why:

2.1. Has the country integrated HIV in the following specific development plans?

SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT PLANS:

Common Country Assessment/UN Development Assistance Framework: N/A

National Development Plan: No

Poverty Reduction Strategy: N/A

National Social Protection Strategic Plan: N/A

Sector-wide approach: No

Other [write in]:

2.2. IF YES, are the following specific HIV-related areas included in one or more of the development plans?

HIV-RELATED AREA INCLUDED IN PLAN(S):

Elimination of punitive laws:

HIV impact alleviation (including palliative care for adults and children):

Reduction of gender inequalities as they relate to HIV prevention/treatment, care and/or support:
Reduction of income inequalities as they relate to HIV prevention/ treatment, care and /or support:

Reduction of stigma and discrimination:

Treatment, care, and support (including social protection or other schemes):

Women’s economic empowerment (e.g. access to credit, access to land, training):

Other [write in]:

3. Has the country evaluated the impact of HIV on its socioeconomic development for planning purposes?: No

3.1. IF YES, on a scale of 0 to 5 (where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”), to what extent has the evaluation informed resource allocation decisions?:

4. Does the country have a plan to strengthen health systems?: Yes

Please include information as to how this has impacted HIV-related infrastructure, human resources and capacities, and logistical systems to deliver medications and children: A Health Sector Support Programme across the entire Ministry of Health has enabled office renovations of the HIV Unit and some training of HIV nurses and medical staff.

5. Are health facilities providing HIV services integrated with other health services?

a) HIV Counselling & Testing with Sexual & Reproductive Health: Few

b) HIV Counselling & Testing and Tuberculosis: Few

c) HIV Counselling & Testing and general outpatient care: Few

d) HIV Counselling & Testing and chronic Non-Communicable Diseases: None

e) ART and Tuberculosis: None

f) ART and general outpatient care: None

g) ART and chronic Non-Communicable Diseases: None

h) PMTCT with Antenatal Care/Maternal & Child Health: Few

i) Other comments on HIV integration: 

6. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate strategy planning efforts in your country’s HIV programmes in 2013?: 2

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

What challenges remain in this area: The finalisation of the NSP is urgently required. This would enable stakeholders, including development partners, to collaboratively implement and support the response.

A.II Political support and leadership
1. Do the following high officials speak publicly and favourably about HIV efforts in major domestic forums at least twice a year?

A. Government ministers: No

B. Other high officials at sub-national level: No

1.1. In the last 12 months, have the head of government or other high officials taken action that demonstrated leadership in the response to HIV?: No

Briefly describe actions/examples of instances where the head of government or other high officials have demonstrated leadership:

2. Does the country have an officially recognized national multisectoral HIV coordination body (i.e., a National HIV Council or equivalent)?: No

IF NO, briefly explain why not and how HIV programmes are being managed: A multisectoral NSP was developed with broad participation in 2012, but has never been finalised and is not in use. The government HIV programmes are managed according to annual workplans.

2.1. IF YES, does the national multisectoral HIV coordination body:

Have terms of reference?: No

Have active government leadership and participation?: No

Have an official chair person?: No

IF YES, what is his/her name and position title?:

Have a defined membership?: No

IF YES, how many members?:

Include civil society representatives?: No

IF YES, how many?:

Include people living with HIV?: No

IF YES, how many?:

Include the private sector?: No

Strengthen donor coordination to avoid parallel funding and duplication of effort in programming and reporting?: No

3. Does the country have a mechanism to promote coordination between government, civil society organizations, and the private sector for implementing HIV strategies/programmes?: Yes

IF YES, briefly describe the main achievements: The mechanism, the Solomon Islands National AIDS Council, is not currently very active. Civil society and government coordinate activities informally.
What challenges remain in this area: Leadership strengthening

4. What percentage of the national HIV budget was spent on activities implemented by civil society in the past year? : 0

5. What kind of support does the National HIV Commission (or equivalent) provide to civil society organizations for the implementation of HIV-related activities?

   Capacity-building: No
   Coordination with other implementing partners: Yes
   Information on priority needs: No
   Procurement and distribution of medications or other supplies: No
   Technical guidance: No
   Other [write in]: No

6. Has the country reviewed national policies and laws to determine which, if any, are inconsistent with the National HIV Control policies?: No

   6.1. IF YES, were policies and laws amended to be consistent with the National HIV Control policies?: No

   IF YES, name and describe how the policies / laws were amended:

   Name and describe any inconsistencies that remain between any policies/laws and the National AIDS Control policies:

7. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the political support for the HIV programme in 2013?: 1

   Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

   What challenges remain in this area: There is limited political support. Stakeholders meetings are no longer convened.

A.III Human rights

1.1. Does the country have non-discrimination laws or regulations which specify protections for specific key populations and other vulnerable groups? Select yes if the policy specifies any of the following key populations and vulnerable groups:

   People living with HIV: No
   Men who have sex with men: No
   Migrants/mobile populations: No
   Orphans and other vulnerable children: No
People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No

Prison inmates: No

Sex workers: No

Transgender people: No

Women and girls: Yes

Young women/young men: No

Other specific vulnerable subpopulations [write in]:

: No

1.2. Does the country have a general (i.e., not specific to HIV-related discrimination) law on non-discrimination?: No

IF YES to Question 1.1. or 1.2., briefly describe the content of the/laws:

Briefly explain what mechanisms are in place to ensure these laws are implemented:

Briefly comment on the degree to which they are currently implemented:

2. Does the country have laws, regulations or policies that present obstacles to effective HIV prevention, treatment, care and support for key populations and vulnerable groups?: Yes

IF YES, for which key populations and vulnerable groups?:

People living with HIV: No

Elderly persons: No

Men who have sex with men: Yes

Migrants/mobile populations: No

Orphans and other vulnerable children: No

People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No

Prison inmates: No

Sex workers: Yes

Transgender people: Yes
Women and girls: No
Young women/young men: No
Other specific vulnerable populations [write in]:

: No

Briefly describe the content of these laws, regulations or policies: The Solomon Island Penal Code classifies homosexual sex and sex work illegal.

Briefly comment on how they pose barriers: Services cannot targeting men who have sex with men and sex workers, and access to information, prevention, treatment, etc. is hindered.

A.IV Prevention

1. Does the country have a policy or strategy that promotes information, education and communication (IEC) on HIV to the general population?: No

IF YES, what key messages are explicitly promoted?:

Delay sexual debut: No
Engage in safe(r) sex: Yes
Fight against violence against women: Yes
Greater acceptance and involvement of people living with HIV: Yes
Greater involvement of men in reproductive health programmes: No
Know your HIV status: Yes
Males to get circumcised under medical supervision: No
Prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Yes
Promote greater equality between men and women: No
Reduce the number of sexual partners: Yes
Use clean needles and syringes: No
Use condoms consistently: Yes

Other [write in]: There is no documented policy or strategy, however, the HIV does engage in IEC on HIV activities in the above areas.

: Yes

1.2. In the last year, did the country implement an activity or programme to promote accurate reporting on HIV by the media?: No
2. Does the country have a policy or strategy to promote life-skills based HIV education for young people?: No

2.1. Is HIV education part of the curriculum in:

Primary schools?: Yes
Secondary schools?: Yes
Teacher training?: Yes

2.2. Does the strategy include

a) age-appropriate sexual and reproductive health elements?: No
b) gender-sensitive sexual and reproductive health elements?: No

2.3. Does the country have an HIV education strategy for out-of-school young people?: No

3. Does the country have a policy or strategy to promote information, education and communication and other preventive health interventions for key or other vulnerable sub-populations?: No

Briefly describe the content of this policy or strategy:

3.1. IF YES, which populations and what elements of HIV prevention does the policy/strategy address?

People who inject drugs:

Men who have sex with men:

Sex workers:

Customers of sex workers:

Prison inmates:

Other populations [write in]:

3.2. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate policy efforts in support of HIV prevention in 2013?: 2

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

What challenges remain in this area:

4. Has the country identified specific needs for HIV prevention programmes?: Yes

IF YES, how were these specific needs determined?: Through the experience of practitioners and technical support from the region.
IF YES, what are these specific needs? : HIV prevention in young people, pregnant women and the general population. Other prevention needs exist (men who have sex with men, mobile populations, seafarers, sex workers and those who engage in transactional sex), but are not currently being specifically addressed.

4.1. To what extent has HIV prevention been implemented?

The majority of people in need have access to:

Blood safety: Agree

Condom promotion: Disagree

Economic support e.g. cash transfers: N/A

Harm reduction for people who inject drugs: N/A

HIV prevention for out-of-school young people: Strongly disagree

HIV prevention in the workplace: Strongly disagree

HIV testing and counseling: Disagree

IEC on risk reduction: Disagree

IEC on stigma and discrimination reduction: Disagree

Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Disagree

Prevention for people living with HIV: Agree

Reproductive health services including sexually transmitted infections prevention and treatment: Agree

Risk reduction for intimate partners of key populations: Strongly disagree

Risk reduction for men who have sex with men: Strongly disagree

Risk reduction for sex workers: Strongly disagree

Reduction of gender based violence: Strongly disagree

School-based HIV education for young people: Agree

Treatment as prevention: Agree

Universal precautions in health care settings: Agree

Other [write in]:

5. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts in implementation of HIV prevention programmes in 2013?: 3
A.V Treatment, care and support

1. Has the country identified the essential elements of a comprehensive package of HIV treatment, care and support services?: No

If YES, Briefly identify the elements and what has been prioritized:

Briefly identify how HIV treatment, care and support services are being scaled-up?

1.1. To what extent have the following HIV treatment, care and support services been implemented?

The majority of people in need have access to:

Antiretroviral therapy: Agree

ART for TB patients: Agree

Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis in people living with HIV: Agree

Early infant diagnosis: Strongly disagree

Economic support: Disagree

Family based care and support: Agree

HIV care and support in the workplace (including alternative working arrangements): Strongly disagree

HIV testing and counselling for people with TB: Agree

HIV treatment services in the workplace or treatment referral systems through the workplace: Disagree

Nutritional care: Disagree

Paediatric AIDS treatment: N/A

Palliative care for children and adults: Palliative care for children and adults: Agree

Post-delivery ART provision to women: Agree

Post-exposure prophylaxis for non-occupational exposure (e.g., sexual assault): Strongly disagree

Post-exposure prophylaxis for occupational exposures to HIV: Disagree

Psychosocial support for people living with HIV and their families: Disagree

Sexually transmitted infection management: Disagree

TB infection control in HIV treatment and care facilities:

TB preventive therapy for people living with HIV: Disagree
TB screening for people living with HIV: Agree

Treatment of common HIV-related infections: Agree

Other [write in]:

2. Does the government have a policy or strategy in place to provide social and economic support to people infected/affected by HIV?: No

Please clarify which social and economic support is provided:

3. Does the country have a policy or strategy for developing/using generic medications or parallel importing of medications for HIV?: Yes

4. Does the country have access to regional procurement and supply management mechanisms for critical commodities, such as antiretroviral therapy medications, condoms, and substitution medications?: Yes

IF YES, for which commodities?: ART drugs, STI drugs, and condoms

5. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts in the implementation of HIV treatment, care, and support programmes in 2013?: 3

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area?:

What challenges remain in this area?: No policy for PEP exposure or care and support for PLHIV. Difficulty in monitoring drug adherence of PLHIV, and difficulty in follow up with PLHIV and their partners due to stigma and discrimination.

6. Does the country have a policy or strategy to address the needs of orphans and other vulnerable children?: No

6.1. IF YES, is there an operational definition for orphans and vulnerable children in the country?: No

6.2. IF YES, does the country have a national action plan specifically for orphans and vulnerable children?: No

7. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts to meet the HIV-related needs of orphans and other vulnerable children in 2013?: 0

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area?:

What challenges remain in this area?: There is no policy, some children born to positive mothers need follow up.

A.VI Monitoring and evaluation

1. Does the country have one national Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) plan for HIV?: In Progress

Briefly describe any challenges in development or implementation: There is insufficient M&E capacity to independently complete the M&E plan.

1.1. IF YES, years covered: 2013-2017

1.2. IF YES, have key partners aligned and harmonized their M&E requirements (including indicators) with the national M&E plan?: No
Briefly describe what the issues are: The Plan is not finished

2. Does the national Monitoring and Evaluation plan include?

A data collection strategy: No

IF YES, does it address:

Behavioural surveys: No

Evaluation / research studies: No

HIV Drug resistance surveillance: No

HIV surveillance: No

Routine programme monitoring: No

A data analysis strategy: No

A data dissemination and use strategy: No

A well-defined standardised set of indicators that includes sex and age disaggregation (where appropriate): No

Guidelines on tools for data collection: No

3. Is there a budget for implementation of the M&E plan?: No

3.1. IF YES, what percentage of the total HIV programme funding is budgeted for M&E activities?

4. Is there a functional national M&E Unit?: No

Briefly describe any obstacles:

4.1. Where is the national M&E Unit based?

In the Ministry of Health?: No

In the National HIV Commission (or equivalent)?: No

Elsewhere?: No

If elsewhere, please specify:

4.2. How many and what type of professional staff are working in the national M&E Unit?
4.3. Are there mechanisms in place to ensure that all key partners submit their M&E data/reports to the M&E Unit for inclusion in the national M&E system?: No

Briefly describe the data-sharing mechanisms:

What are the major challenges in this area:

5. Is there a national M&E Committee or Working Group that meets regularly to coordinate M&E activities?: No

6. Is there a central national database with HIV-related data?: No

IF YES, briefly describe the national database and who manages it:

6.1. IF YES, does it include information about the content, key populations and geographical coverage of HIV services, as well as their implementing organizations?:

IF YES, but only some of the above, which aspects does it include:

6.2. Is there a functional Health Information System?

At national level: Yes

At subnational level: No

IF YES, at what level(s):

7.1. Are there reliable estimates of current needs and of future needs of the number of adults and children requiring antiretroviral therapy?:

7.2. Is HIV programme coverage being monitored?: No

(a) IF YES, is coverage monitored by sex (male, female)?: No

(b) IF YES, is coverage monitored by population groups?: No

IF YES, for which population groups:

Briefly explain how this information is used:

(c) Is coverage monitored by geographical area?: No

IF YES, at which geographical levels (provincial, district, other):

Briefly explain how this information is used:

8. Does the country publish an M&E report on HIV, including HIV surveillance data at least once a year?: No
9. How are M&E data used?

For programme improvement?: No

In developing / revising the national HIV response?: No

For resource allocation?: No

Other [write in]:

: No

Briefly provide specific examples of how M&E data are used, and the main challenges, if any:

10. In the last year, was training in M&E conducted

At national level?: No

IF YES, what was the number trained:

At subnational level?: No

IF YES, what was the number trained:

At service delivery level including civil society?: No

IF YES, how many?:

10.1. Were other M&E capacity-building activities conducted other than training?: Yes

IF YES, describe what types of activities: Some staff attended an overseas workshop to finalise the NSP, which included capacity building support.

11. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the HIV-related monitoring and evaluation (M&E) in 2013?: 1

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

What challenges remain in this area: SINAC needs to commit to strengthening M&E for the wider response and a dedicated officer within the HIV Unit is needed to lead M&E of national activities.

B.I Civil Society involvement

1. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) has civil society contributed to strengthening the political commitment of top leaders and national strategy/policy formulations?: 0

Comments and examples:

2. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) have civil society representatives been involved in the planning and budgeting process for the National Strategic Plan on HIV or for the most current activity plan (e.g. attending planning meetings and reviewing drafts): 5
Comments and examples: Some members of civil society were involved in planning meetings in 2012.

3. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) are the services provided by civil society in areas of HIV prevention, treatment, care and support included in:

a. The national HIV strategy?: 4

b. The national HIV budget?: 0

c. The national HIV reports?: 0

Comments and examples:

4. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) is civil society included in the monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of the HIV response?

a. Developing the national M&E plan?: 4

b. Participating in the national M&E committee / working group responsible for coordination of M&E activities?: 0

c. Participate in using data for decision-making?: 0

Comments and examples:

5. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) is civil society representation in HIV efforts inclusive of diverse organizations (e.g. organisations and networks of people living with HIV, of sex workers, community based organisations, and faith-based organizations)?: 1

Comments and examples: Faith based organisations and international NGOs are represented among civil society, however, there are no other diverse types of organisations represented.

6. To what extent (on a scale of 0 to 5 where 0 is “Low” and 5 is “High”) is civil society able to access:

a. Adequate financial support to implement its HIV activities?: 1

b. Adequate technical support to implement its HIV activities?: 1

Comments and examples: Funding and support have diminished significantly for civil society

7. What percentage of the following HIV programmes/services is estimated to be provided by civil society?

Prevention for key-populations:

People living with HIV: 25-50%

Men who have sex with men: <25%

People who inject drugs: <25%

Sex workers: <25%
Transgender people: <25%

Palliative care: <25%

Testing and Counselling: 25-50%

Know your Rights/ Legal services: <25%

Reduction of Stigma and Discrimination: 25-50%

Clinical services (ART/OI): <25%

Home-based care: <25%

Programmes for OVC: <25%

8. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts to increase civil society participation in 2013?: 1

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area: 

What challenges remain in this area: Funding streams for civil society have diminished, and advocacy for increased support is low.

B.II Political support and leadership

1. Has the Government, through political and financial support, involved people living with HIV, key populations and/or other vulnerable sub-populations in governmental HIV-policy design and programme implementation?: Yes

IF YES, describe some examples of when and how this has happened: A representative of PLHIV is employed at the Ministry's HIV Unit to implement prevention and advocacy.

B.III Human rights

1.1. Does the country have non-discrimination laws or regulations which specify protections for specific key populations and other vulnerable subpopulations? Circle yes if the policy specifies any of the following key populations:

KEY POPULATIONS and VULNERABLE SUBPOPULATIONS:

People living with HIV: No

Men who have sex with men: No

Migrants/mobile populations: No

Orphans and other vulnerable children: No

People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No
Prison inmates: No

Sex workers: No

Transgender people: No

Women and girls: Yes

Young women/young men: No

Other specific vulnerable subpopulations [write in]:

: No

1.2. Does the country have a general (i.e., not specific to HIV-related discrimination) law on non-discrimination?: No

IF YES to Question 1.1 or 1.2, briefly describe the contents of these laws:

Briefly explain what mechanisms are in place to ensure that these laws are implemented:

Briefly comment on the degree to which they are currently implemented:

2. Does the country have laws, regulations or policies that present obstacles to effective HIV prevention, treatment, care and support for key populations and other vulnerable subpopulations?: Yes

2.1. IF YES, for which sub-populations?

KEY POPULATIONS and VULNERABLE SUBPOPULATIONS:

People living with HIV: No

Men who have sex with men: Yes

Migrants/mobile populations: No

Orphans and other vulnerable children: No

People with disabilities: No

People who inject drugs: No

Prison inmates: No

Sex workers: Yes

Transgender people: No

Women and girls: No

Young women/young men: No
Other specific vulnerable populations [write in]:

: No

Briefly describe the content of these laws, regulations or policies: The Solomon Islands Penal Code stipulates that homosexual acts and sex work are illegal.

Briefly comment on how they pose barriers: Members of vulnerable populations fear identification, which poses barriers to accessing prevention and other services.

3. Does the country have a policy, law or regulation to reduce violence against women, including for example, victims of sexual assault or women living with HIV?: Yes

Briefly describe the content of the policy, law or regulation and the populations included.: There is a national Gender Equality Policy, however, it does not include any reference to HIV.

4. Is the promotion and protection of human rights explicitly mentioned in any HIV policy or strategy?: Yes

IF YES, briefly describe how human rights are mentioned in this HIV policy or strategy: Some aspects of human rights are explicitly noted in the Cross-Cutting Issues section of the DRAFT strategy.

5. Is there a mechanism to record, document and address cases of discrimination experienced by people living with HIV, key populations and other vulnerable populations?: No

IF YES, briefly describe this mechanism:

6. Does the country have a policy or strategy of free services for the following? Indicate if these services are provided free-of-charge to all people, to some people or not at all (circle “yes” or “no” as applicable).

Antiretroviral treatment:

Provided free-of-charge to all people in the country: Yes

Provided free-of-charge to some people in the country: No

Provided, but only at a cost: No

HIV prevention services:

Provided free-of-charge to all people in the country: Yes

Provided free-of-charge to some people in the country: No

Provided, but only at a cost: No

HIV-related care and support interventions:

Provided free-of-charge to all people in the country: Yes

Provided free-of-charge to some people in the country: No

Provided, but only at a cost: No
If applicable, which populations have been identified as priority, and for which services?:

7. Does the country have a policy or strategy to ensure equal access for women and men to HIV prevention, treatment, care and support?: No

7.1. In particular, does the country have a policy or strategy to ensure access to HIV prevention, treatment, care and support for women outside the context of pregnancy and childbirth?: No

8. Does the country have a policy or strategy to ensure equal access for key populations and/or other vulnerable sub-populations to HIV prevention, treatment, care and support?: No

IF YES, Briefly describe the content of this policy/strategy and the populations included::

8.1. IF YES, does this policy/strategy include different types of approaches to ensure equal access for different key populations and/or other vulnerable sub-populations?: No

IF YES, briefly explain the different types of approaches to ensure equal access for different populations::

9. Does the country have a policy or law prohibiting HIV screening for general employment purposes (recruitment, assignment/relocation, appointment, promotion, termination)?: No

IF YES, briefly describe the content of the policy or law::

10. Does the country have the following human rights monitoring and enforcement mechanisms?

a. Existence of independent national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights, including human rights commissions, law reform commissions, watchdogs, and ombudspersons which consider HIV-related issues within their work: No

b. Performance indicators or benchmarks for compliance with human rights standards in the context of HIV efforts: No

IF YES on any of the above questions, describe some examples::

11. In the last 2 years, have there been the following training and/or capacity-building activities:

a. Programmes to educate, raise awareness among people living with HIV and key populations concerning their rights (in the context of HIV)?: No

b. Programmes for members of the judiciary and law enforcement on HIV and human rights issues that may come up in the context of their work?: No

12. Are the following legal support services available in the country?

a. Legal aid systems for HIV casework: No

b. Private sector law firms or university-based centres to provide free or reduced-cost legal services to people living with HIV: No

13. Are there programmes in place to reduce HIV-related stigma and discrimination?: No

IF YES, what types of programmes?:
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Programmes for health care workers: No

Programmes for the media: No

Programmes in the work place: No

Other [write in]:

: No

14. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the policies, laws and regulations in place to promote and protect human rights in relation to HIV in 2013?: 1

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area: There were some efforts in 2011 and 2012 to introduce an HIV Bill.

What challenges remain in this area: Limited effort to maintain the momentum towards completion, plus limited political will/support.

15. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the effort to implement human rights related policies, laws and regulations in 2013?: 1

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

What challenges remain in this area:

B.IV Prevention

1. Has the country identified the specific needs for HIV prevention programmes?: Yes

IF YES, how were these specific needs determined?: Through the experience of programme staff and the support of regional technical experts

IF YES, what are these specific needs?: young people, pregnant women, the general population. there is agreement on the need for prevention among sex workers, men who have sex with men, and mobile populations as well.

1.1 To what extent has HIV prevention been implemented?

The majority of people in need have access to:

Blood safety: Agree

Condom promotion: Disagree

Harm reduction for people who inject drugs: N/A

HIV prevention for out-of-school young people: Strongly disagree

HIV prevention in the workplace: Strongly disagree

HIV testing and counseling: Disagree

IEC on risk reduction: Disagree
IEC on stigma and discrimination reduction: Disagree

Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Disagree

Prevention for people living with HIV: Agree

Reproductive health services including sexually transmitted infections prevention and treatment: Agree

Risk reduction for intimate partners of key populations: Disagree

Risk reduction for men who have sex with men: Strongly disagree

Risk reduction for sex workers: Strongly disagree

School-based HIV education for young people: Agree

Universal precautions in health care settings: Agree

Other [write in]:

2. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts in the implementation of HIV prevention programmes in 2013?: 3

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area:

What challenges remain in this area: While prevention activities have been implemented, their reach is limited. Many rural people as well as those living in urban areas are not reached by prevention activities.

B.V Treatment, care and support

1. Has the country identified the essential elements of a comprehensive package of HIV treatment, care and support services?: No

IF YES, Briefly identify the elements and what has been prioritized:

Briefly identify how HIV treatment, care and support services are being scaled-up?:

1.1. To what extent have the following HIV treatment, care and support services been implemented?

The majority of people in need have access to:

Antiretroviral therapy: Agree

ART for TB patients: Agree

Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis in people living with HIV: Agree

Early infant diagnosis: Disagree
HIV care and support in the workplace (including alternative working arrangements): Strongly disagree

HIV testing and counselling for people with TB: Agree

HIV treatment services in the workplace or treatment referral systems through the workplace: Disagree

Nutritional care: Disagree

Paediatric AIDS treatment: N/A

Post-delivery ART provision to women: Disagree

Post-exposure prophylaxis for non-occupational exposure (e.g., sexual assault): Strongly disagree

Post-exposure prophylaxis for occupational exposures to HIV: Disagree

Psychosocial support for people living with HIV and their families: Disagree

Sexually transmitted infection management: Agree

TB infection control in HIV treatment and care facilities:

TB preventive therapy for people living with HIV:

TB screening for people living with HIV: Agree

Treatment of common HIV-related infections: Agree

Other [write in]:

1.2. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts in the implementation of HIV treatment, care and support programmes in 2013?: 3

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area?:

What challenges remain in this area?:

2. Does the country have a policy or strategy to address the needs of orphans and other vulnerable children?: No

2.1. IF YES, is there an operational definition for orphans and vulnerable children in the country?: No

2.2. IF YES, does the country have a national action plan specifically for orphans and vulnerable children?: No

3. Overall, on a scale of 0 to 10 (where 0 is “Very Poor” and 10 is “Excellent”), how would you rate the efforts in the implementation of HIV treatment, care and support programmes in 2013?: 3

Since 2011, what have been key achievements in this area?:

What challenges remain in this area?:
