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Please use the template to organize your feedback from the session. Please keep responses succinct and as clear as possible to ensure our synthesis is a reflection of the focus groups hosted.

You can enter your report directly into a form on SurveyMonkey:
https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/3HC9Q6M

If you are not able to enter it on line you can send us a copy via e-mail strategy@unaids.org

Would you accept for UNAIDS to make your report publicly available: Yes

Section one: Information about the focus group (to be completed by host of Focus Group)

Organization leading discussion: UNAIDS Kenya Office

Date of discussion: 11th August 2020

Theme to be discussed: Kenya progress with Fast track targets

Participants (types of organizations participating):

- UN Joint Team on HIV, Kenya

Country, regional or global focus: Country focus

Introducing the theme

Please enter the main characteristics of the theme being explored in 5 sentences (please share the presentation if possible by email)

- Challenges with implementation of current strategy – fast track targets
- What needs to be prioritized in the next 5 years?
- What are the barriers that COVID 19 has introduced in the response
- ...
-
### Section one: People centered response to HIV - key emerging issues

#### REACHING THE PEOPLE

| What has worked well | • Adaptation to social media and use of cell phones for messaging  
|                     | • Involvement of clients in decision making since a lot of them know their rights  
|                     | • One stop shop model clinic for PWUDs – has gotten rid of discrimination thus improvement of service provision  
|                     | • Working with CSOs that consists mainly of peers  
|                     | • Innovation within the HIV programmes especially in KP programmes  
|                     | • Integration of services for the AYP  
|                     | • Targeted approach to specific populations  
|                     | • Focus on sustained support towards a strong CSO movement in terms of access to justice and know your rights movement  
| Gaps and challenges | • Not everyone is reached through messaging via social media  
|                     | • Beneficiaries lacking capacity to run and manage their own programmes e.g. PWUDs  
|                     | • Kenya not categorizing prisoners as key and vulnerable populations despite the GF guidelines classifying them as key and vulnerable population  
|                     | • Difficulty of AYPs accessing condoms  
| What has not worked well | • HIV prevention among PWUDs and prisoners hasn’t worked well  
|                       | • CSE in schools  
|                       | • PMTCT in the country has lost its momentum  
|                       | • Government becoming evasive towards promotion of human rights  
| What needs to be done differently | • To capacitate beneficiaries to be able to run their own programmes e.g. PWUDs  
|                                 | • To improve HIV prevention in prison and among PWUDs  
|                                 | • To see how to target AYPs better in prevention programmes  
|                                 | • To dispense UBRAF funds biennially rather than yearly  
|                                 | • To advocate for CSE in schools  
|                                 | • To continue working on AYP’s accessibility to condoms  
|                                 | • Reenergizing prevention agenda especially among the young people by enhancing traditional and non-traditional way of communication  
|                                 | • Human centered approaches to be included in the next strategy  
|                                 | • To come up with strategic interventions for low and medium burden regions in the country and regionally  

#### STRUCTURES THAT RESPOND TO HIV

| What has worked well | • Coordination within the UN Joint team  
|                     | • UNJT, development partners and government working together  
| Gaps and challenges | • Resources are skewed at national level  
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| What has not worked well | • Responding as one UN to development partners, stakeholders, and government  
| | • Ensuring counties take up the service delivery model  
| | • UNJT being segmented in supporting national processes  
| | • Functionality of human rights within NACC and NASCOP |

**What needs to be done differently**  
• Relaunch the efforts of integration of the UN Joint Team  
• To ensure there is efficiency of in terms of how structures work both at national and county level  
• Ensure resources are allocated at county level  
• To have a coordinated approach as one UN in supporting national processes  
• To come up with recommendations of accountability framework among the PRs and IP to ensure resources are reaching the people  
• To ensure GF dialogues are inclusive of people that need to be reached  
• Need to deliberate efforts in humanitarian context

---

**CONTEXTUAL ENVIRONMENT**

| What has worked well | • Done well in the outer rings of policy, community engagement and organizational support |
| Gaps and challenges | • Shift from HIV to Covid 19 |
| What has not worked well | • Ring fencing of resources |

**What needs to be done differently**  
• To chart new territories where little or no achievement has been made within the HIV programme  
• To reflect on how the new UN reforms will affect the HIV response  
• To look at the cost effectiveness of some of the programmes since Covid 19 began

---

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**What are the key recommendations back to UNAIDS in terms of the strategy specifically?**

• To document what has worked well  
• To consider integration of programmes with partners to accommodate areas that may not be funded