# UNAIDS STRATEGY REVIEW: Focus Group Synthesis template

Country: Kenya

Organizer: UNAIDS

**Date of discussion:** 11<sup>th</sup> August 2020

#### UNAIDS STRATEGY REVIEW: Focus Group Synthesis template

Please use the template to organize your feedback from the session. Please keep responses succinct and as clear as possible to ensure our synthesis is a reflection of the focus groups hosted.

You can enter your report directly into a form on SurveyMonkey:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/3HC9Q6M

If you are not able to enter it on line you can send us a copy via e-mail strategy@unaids.org

Would you accept for UNAIDS to make your report publicly available: Yes

Section one: Information about the focus group (to be completed by host of Focus Group)

Organization leading discussion: UNAIDS Kenya Office

**Date of discussion:**11<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Theme to be discussed: Kenya progress with Fast track targets

Participants (types of organizations participating):

• UN Joint Team on HIV, Kenya

Country, regional or global focus: Country focus

#### Introducing the theme

Please enter the main characteristics of the theme being explored in 5 sentences (please share the presentation if possible by email)

- Challenges with implementation of current strategy fast track targets
- What needs to be prioritized in the next 5 years?
- What are the barriers that COVID 19 has introduced in the response

•

• ...

Section one: People centered response to HIV -key emerging issues

	REACHING THE PEOPLE
What has worked well	<ul> <li>Adaptation to social media and use of cell phones for messaging</li> <li>Involvement of clients in decision making since a lot of them know their rights</li> <li>One stop shop model clinic for PWUDs – has gotten rid of discrimination thus improvement of service provision</li> <li>Working with CSOs that consists mainly of peers</li> <li>Innovation within the HIV programmes especially in KP programmes</li> <li>Integration of services for the AYP</li> <li>Targeted approach to specific populations</li> <li>Focus on sustained support towards a strong CSO movement in</li> </ul>
Gaps and challenges	<ul> <li>terms of access to justice and know your rights movement</li> <li>Not everyone is reached through messaging via social media</li> <li>Beneficiaries lacking capacity to run and manage their own programmes e.g. PWUDs</li> <li>Kenya not categorizing prisoners as key and vulnerable populations despite the GF guidelines classifying them as key and vulnerable population</li> <li>Difficulty of AYPs accessing condoms</li> </ul>
What has not worked well	<ul> <li>HIV prevention among PWUDs and prisoners hasn't worked well</li> <li>CSE in schools</li> <li>PMTCT in the country has lost its momentum</li> <li>Government becoming evasive towards promotion of human rights</li> </ul>
What needs to be done differently	<ul> <li>To capacitate beneficiaries to be able to run their own programmes e.g. PWUDs</li> <li>To improve HIV prevention in prison and among PWUDs</li> <li>To see how to target AYPs better in prevention programmes</li> <li>To dispense UBRAF funds biennially rather than yearly</li> <li>To advocate for CSE in schools</li> <li>To continue working on AYP's accessibility to condoms</li> <li>Reenergizing prevention agenda especially among the young people by enhancing traditional and non-traditional way of communication</li> <li>Human centered approaches to be included in the next strategy</li> <li>To come up with strategic interventions for low and medium burden regions in the country and regionally</li> </ul>

STRUCTURES THAT RESPOND TO HIV			
What has worked well	<ul> <li>Coordination within the UN Joint team</li> <li>UNJT, development partners and government working together</li> </ul>		
Gaps and challenges	Resources are skewed at national level		

What has not worked well	<ul> <li>Responding as one UN to development partners, stakeholders, and government</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ensuring counties take up the service delivery model</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>UNJT being segmented in supporting national processes</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Functionality of human rights within NACC and NASCOP</li> </ul>
What needs to be	<ul> <li>Relaunch the efforts of integration of the UN Joint Team</li> </ul>
done differently	<ul> <li>To ensure there is efficiency of in terms of how structures work</li> </ul>
	both at national and county level
	<ul> <li>Ensure resources are allocated at county level</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>To have a coordinated approach as one UN in supporting national processes</li> </ul>
	To come up with recommendations of accountability
	framework among the PRs and IP to ensure resources are reaching the people
	<ul> <li>To ensure GF dialogues are inclusive of people that need to be reached</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Need to deliberate efforts in humanitarian context</li> </ul>

CONTEXTUAL ENVIRONMENT			
What has worked well	<ul> <li>Done well in the outer rings of policy, community engagement and organizational support</li> </ul>		
Gaps and challenges	Shift from HIV to Covid 19		
What has not worked well	Ring fencing of resources		
What needs to be done differently	<ul> <li>To chart new territories where little or no achievement has been made within the HIV programme</li> <li>To reflect on how the new UN reforms will affect the HIV response</li> <li>To look at the cost effectiveness of some of the programmes since Covid 19 began</li> </ul>		

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

What are the key recommendations back to UNAIDS in terms of the strategy specifically?

- To document what has worked well
- To consider integration of programmes with partners to accommodate areas that may not be funded