# UNAIDS STRATEGY REVIEW: Focus Group Synthesis template

Country: Kenya

**Organizer:** Kenya AIDS NGO Consortium (KANCO)

**Date of discussion:** 20<sup>th</sup> August 2020

#### UNAIDS STRATEGY REVIEW: Focus Group Synthesis template

Please use the template to organize your feedback from the session. Please keep responses succinct and as clear as possible to ensure our synthesis is a reflection of the focus groups hosted.

You can enter your report directly into a form on SurveyMonkey:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/3HC9Q6M

If you are not able to enter it on line you can send us a copy via e-mail strategy@unaids.org

Would you accept for UNAIDS to make your report publicly available: Yes

Section one: Information about the focus group (to be completed by host of Focus Group)

Organization leading discussion: UNAIDS Kenya Office

**Date of discussion:** 20<sup>th</sup> August 2020

Theme to be discussed: Kenya progress with Fast track targets

Participants (types of organizations participating):

- NGOs on Human rights and HIV
- NGOs on Health

Country, regional or global focus: Country focus

#### Introducing the theme

Please enter the main characteristics of the theme being explored in 5 sentences (please share the presentation if possible by email)

- Challenges with implementation of current strategy fast track targets
- What needs to be prioritized in the next 5 years?
- What are the barriers that COVID 19 has introduced in the response
- •
- ..

## Section one: People centered response to HIV – key emerging issues

	REACHING THE PEOPLE
What has worked well	<ul> <li>Prevalence programme</li> <li>Targeting efforts for testing</li> <li>Awareness on information translation</li> <li>Constituency segmentation</li> <li>Normalization of HIV – people can talk about it</li> <li>Increased coverage – more people are identified and put on treatment</li> <li>Awareness in urban centers has worked well but rural and ASAL areas have been left behind</li> <li>Programming on prevention</li> <li>Stigma at community level in urban centers</li> <li>HIV programming – a lot of people feel safe</li> <li>Focus on adolescent girls and young women – though not much investments</li> <li>Embracing the idea of UHC has worked well especially government</li> <li>Defining interventions around HIV and making them targeted</li> </ul>
Gaps and challenges	<ul> <li>There is no adequate CSE for the adolescents and young people</li> <li>Self-testing since there is no counselling involved</li> <li>A lot of women not taking children for refills due to transport challenges</li> <li>Lack of enough investment especially in SPC</li> </ul>
What was not done well	<ul> <li>PMTCT</li> <li>Viral suppression of adolescent and children</li> <li>Integration of HIV to other areas</li> <li>Care and retention at facility level</li> <li>Mental health issues among the AYPs</li> <li>SGBV</li> <li>Stigma and discrimination in counties</li> <li>Community intervention programmes</li> <li>Behavior change communication – could be the reason why AGYW are highly affected</li> </ul>
What needs to be done differently	<ul> <li>Need to reach out to AYP and pregnant mothers with HIV to reduce MTCT cases</li> <li>HIV and hygiene need to be looked at</li> <li>To have psychosocial support for people aging with HIV</li> <li>To invest deeply in community led interventions to reduce cases of people missing out on treatment</li> <li>To focus on why interventions amongst the youth are not working as expected</li> <li>To do more on stigma and discrimination</li> <li>More to be done on access to health</li> <li>To improve in integrating HIV to other areas</li> <li>To look at causes of GBV and what can be done to improve the situation</li> </ul>

- Need to identify good innovations that will enable to locate the remaining percentage that is not on treatment
   Advocacy needs to be done to have government's total involvement
- rather that siloed
- To have HIV being the driver of GBV rather than vice-versa
- To explore on how innovation and technology can be used to tackle the problems being grappled with within the response
- To think on implementing prevention outcomes
- To also focus more on the rural and ASAL areas since they have been left behind in the response
- Addressing issues on food security among women to prevent transactional sex from taking place
- Media engagement with local media stations and provide information on HIV such as PREP and other preventive measures
- To see how best to programme for young key populations
- Need to address the fact that a lot of young women are engaging in transactional sex within the urban centers
- To focus on men and young boys
- To see how people do not suffer from information fatigue lesson learnt from Covid 19
- Invest in mental health
- To bolster the idea of HIV competence to make sure it is not left blindly
- To look within various constituents to determine who have been left behind
- Sustainable funding to look at how to work towards the sustainability of the response since it is majorly donor funded
- To ensure community funding is not left behind
- To see how activities such as PREP programmes can strengthen health systems
- To see how to mitigate HIV programmes around emergency situations
- When redesigning the programme on HIV, to make them have wholistic interventions to address all matters around drivers of HIV

STRUCTURES THAT RESPOND TO HIV		
What has worked well	<ul> <li>Multisectoral approach through NACC structures</li> <li>Data on HIV and TB – to further improve and be more segmented</li> <li>Strategic frameworks</li> </ul>	
Gaps and challenges	<ul> <li>CSOs working in silos</li> <li>A lot of segmentation at service delivery</li> <li>Segmentation in PMTCT programmes</li> <li>Inability to access HIV data under family planning programmes</li> <li>There is a big divide between NASCOP and NACC</li> <li>National TB programme in the country has been left behind in HIV programmes</li> <li>Getting the World Bank and WHO has been a challenge to address issues within the UN Joint Team on HIV/AIDS</li> </ul>	

What was not	Having same technical working groups from both NACC and NASCOP
done well	CSOs walking into meetings without having consultations amongst each
	other
What needs to be	<ul> <li>To have transparent engagement – there is favoritism towards certain</li> </ul>
done differently	CSOs
,	To ensure communities are engaging well at county level
	Ensure counties and national government are engaging well
	CSOs to be empowered to present their ideas in structures such as
	PEPFAR and GF processes
	<ul> <li>To see how good growing pool of people can sit in PEPFAR and GF</li> </ul>
	structures rather than having the same people constantly seating such
	platforms
	Need to address representation at county level since implementors are
	lacking
	To see how to have structures at county level that could feed
	backwards
	TB to be integrated into the HIV response
	To have a multisectoral approach where non biomedical implementors
	are brought on board to give contribution in the response
	To see how TB caucus and HIV caucus can work together
	To reengineer the structure to work for everyone including TB,
	,
	AYPs e.t.c.
	To address the issue of human rights
	<ul> <li>CSOs to have a consultative process and address issues that can be</li> </ul>
	aired at global level rather than working in silos
	To have people who understand government procedures to put for
	accountability
	accountability

CONTEXTUAL ENVIRONMENT		
Gaps and challenges	<ul> <li>Politicians not abiding by the health regulations on Covid 19</li> <li>Money not reaching at grassroot level</li> <li>PMTCT nurses and doctors strike that affect the gains made in the response</li> <li>Professional bodies and past wrangles that end up affecting the response</li> <li>There is a divide in addressing AYP issues brought about by different institutions working in silos</li> </ul>	
What needs to be done differently	<ul> <li>Covid 19 – to address issues of rights-based approach</li> <li>To see how to overcome doctors strike to ensure gains made are not affected</li> <li>To see how to handle professional body wrangles</li> </ul>	

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

What are the key recommendations back to UNAIDS in terms of the strategy specifically?		
CONTINUE	<ul> <li>Targeted programming especially hard to reach communities</li> <li>Investment in R&amp;E products</li> <li>Support national structures for continued better coordination</li> <li>Investing in AYP interventions</li> <li>Looking at how FBOs can support in the response since majority of population is religious</li> <li>Community engagement – to have response be informed by evidence</li> <li>Provision of coordination and leadership by UNAIDS</li> <li>UNAIDS being the voice of the vulnerable population at the UN Joint Team on HIV/AIDS</li> <li>Support in advocacy</li> </ul>	
STOP	<ul> <li>Giving messaging across board on HIV – to move to grassroot media that would be best place to pass messaging at grassroot level</li> </ul>	
START	<ul> <li>Having strategies for hard to reach communities such as AYPs,         AGYW e.t.c. would be desirable</li> <li>Data is mostly quantitative. To start creating qualitative data from various constituencies</li> <li>Translating the global position of human rights approach to local context</li> <li>Getting back to community support groups to address stigma and discrimination – UNAIDS to support in resource mobilization</li> </ul>	